Machine, Passenger Coach Monitoring System, Claims Information Processing Sys^ tern, etc.

**Amount spent on renovation of Ministerial bungalows**

2058. SHRI PRAMOD M AHA JAN: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOP MENT be pleased io state:

(a) what was the total amount spent on improving, re-constructing and security-fortifying, on bungalow numbers 5, 7 and 9, Race Course Road, LVIbi during, the period 1985—89;

(b) what was the average amount spent annually on the Ministerial bungalows in Delhi during each of tli3 last three years and *t\ z* current year stating their numbers;

(c) the average annual amount spent on accommodation allotted to Members of Parliament during the same period stating their number also; and

(d) whether Government have any proposal to construct fiVs of the like flats constructed at the; Vfultt-storey Complex at Baba Kharak Singh Marg to meet the shortage of accommodation for MPs. Supreme Court Judges and High officials of Government; if so, their possible loca-cations?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) Rs. 50. 54 lakhs.

(b) and (c) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.

(d) The proposal is at a preliminary stage only and no site has been finalised yet.

**Employment generation under Jawahar Rozgar Yojana**

2059. SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJ-
PAYEE: SHRI ASHWANI KUMAR:

Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) the actual amount provided to each State under Jawahar Rozgar Yojana during the yew 1989-90; also state the amoun for which the States were entitled as per their quota;

(b) the reasons for deviations, if any, in the allotment of Funds;

(c) the amount actually utilised by each State during the year 1989-90; State-wise;

(d) whether employment generation targets were achieved; if so, the details thereof, State-wise; and

(e) whether any survey has been made about the gainful and lasting nature of the employment so generated, if so. the findings thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE. DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI UPENDRA NATH VERMA): (a) State/Union Territory-wise details of Central resources provided and entitlement of resources under Jawahar Rozgar Yojana (JRV) during the year 1989-90 are given in the Annexure.

(b) Allocation of resources under JRY were made on the poverty criteria i. e. proportion of rural poor living in the State/ UTs. to the total rural poor in the country.

However, exceptions were made in case of island and small States/UTs. as the strict application of the criteria would have meant drastically reduced allocations to them under JRY as compared to the Central grants provided to them in the preceding year under the erstwhile wage employment programmes of the National Rural Employment Programme (NREP) and Rural Landless Employment Guarantee Programe (RLEGP). The allocation in res pect of such States/UTs was increased to ensure its comparability with the previous year's allocation under NREP/RLEGP. States which benefited on account of this were Goa, Himachal Pradesh. Jammu & Kashmir, Manipur, Andaman & Nicobar, Chandigarh, Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Daman & Diu, Lakshadweep and Pondicherry. A total additional allocation of Rs. 16. 45 crores, over and above their entitlement, was given to these States/UTs. This amount was proportionately (more or

less) reduced from the allocations of the remaining States.

(c) State-UTs-wise details of the resources utilised during 1989-90 under the Yojana are given in the Anne. uire.

(d) State/UT-wise details of employment generation targets fixed, achievement thereof and percentage achievement during the year 1989-90 under the Yojana are given in the statements *[See* Appendix CLV An-nexure No. 64].

(e) No survey has been made as 1989-90 was the first year of the JRY.

Ownership rights to niarketmen in Delhi

2060. SHRI ATAL BIHARI VAJPAYEE:
SHRI ASHWANI KUMAR:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVE-LOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government had examined various aspects of its decision taken in October, 1989 to confer ownership rights to Meherchand Khanna Market, Lodhi Colony and INA market (among other markets) on lease-hold basis to the authorised allottees;

(b) if so, the progress made so far in the implementation of the decision; and

(c) the names of other markets in Delhi whose allottees are likely to get similar ownership rights?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVE-LOPMENT (SHRI MORASOLI MARAN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Process for implemenling the decision has been initiated.

(c)l. Babu Market (Sarojini Nagar)
2. Mohan Singh Market
3. R. K. Puram, Sector VI
4. R. K. Puram, Sector Vm
5. R. K. Puram, Sector IX
6. R. K. Puram, Sector XII
7. Aliganj Market (Lodhi Colony)
.. 8. Kasturba Nagar Mkt. (Sewa Nagar)

Subject to change in land use.

**Scheme of fast rail transport for Delhi on cement pillars**

2061. SHRI ASHWANI KUMAR: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have seen the news item which appeared in the Nav \ Bharat Times, dated the 30th June, 1990, claiming a scheme of fast rail transport for Delhi on Cement pillars with less consumption of energy, little pollution and lesser investment and installation period, *it* so, what is the reaction of Government thereto; and

(b) if so, in what way, this scheme differ from the proposal already prepared to solve Delhi's transport problem, in respect of (i) Energy consump'ion (ii) investment and (iii) installation period?

THE MINISTER OF URKAN DEVE-LOPMENT (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir.

It is cliamed that the system based on the roller coaster principle should result in fuel saving, less pollution, uninterrupted and faster movement, lesser travel time and lesser investment.

In the absence of technical specifications and detailed drawings and a more in depth